Axonal autophagosomes recruit dynein for retrograde transport through fusion with late endosomes
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Efficient degradation of autophagic vacuoles (AVs) via lysosomes is an important cellular homeostatic process. This is particularly challenging for neurons because mature acidic lysosomes are relatively enriched in the soma. Although dynein-driven retrograde transport of AVs was suggested, a fundamental question remains how autophagosomes generated at distal axons acquire dynein motors for retrograde transport toward the soma. In this paper, we demonstrate that late endosome (LE)–loaded dynein–snapin complexes drive AV retrograde transport in axons upon fusion of autophagosomes with LEs into amphisomes. Blocking the fusion with syntaxin17 knockdown reduced recruitment of dynein motors to AVs, thus immobilizing them in axons. Deficiency in dynein–snapin coupling impaired AV transport, resulting in AV accumulation in neurites and synaptic terminals. Altogether, our study provides the first evidence that autophagosomes recruit dynein through fusion with LEs and reveals a new motor–adaptor sharing mechanism by which neurons may remove distal AVs engulfing aggregated proteins and dysfunctional organelles for efficient degradation in the soma.

Introduction

Autophagy is an important cellular process to eliminate defective organelles and aggregated proteins over a neuron’s lifetime. Impaired autophagy is associated with several age-related neurodegenerative disorders (Yue et al., 2009; Rubinsztein et al., 2011; Nixon, 2013; Schneider and Cuervo, 2014). Autophagy undergoes stepwise maturation: bulk cytoplasmic components and organelles are engulfed within double-membrane organelles termed autophagosomes followed by fusion with late endosomes (LEs) into hybrid organelles called amphisomes or fusion with lysosomes into autolysosomes for degradation (Klionsky and Emr, 2000; Levine and Klionsky, 2004; Shen and Mizushima, 2014; Tooze et al., 2014). Newly formed autophagosomes in distal axons are transported to the soma for degradation (Maday et al., 2012). Neurons are highly polarized cells with long axons where kinesin and dynein motors drive long-distance transport of organelles (Hirokawa et al., 2010). Neurons face special challenges to deliver autophagic vacuoles (AVs) generated at distal processes toward proximal regions and the soma, where mature acidic lysosomes are relatively enriched (Cai et al., 2010; Lee et al., 2011). Therefore, retrograde transport is critical to maintain cellular homeostasis essential for neuronal development, survival, and synaptic function.

Emerging evidence supports that dynein motors drive AV retrograde transport from axonal terminals to the soma. Mutation in dynein motors or inhibition of the motor activity reduces autophagic clearance (Ravikumar et al., 2005; Jahreiss et al., 2008; Kimura et al., 2008; Katsumata et al., 2010). However, these studies raise a mechanistic question: How do autophagosomes in distal axons acquire their retrograde motility? Specifically, it is unknown whether dynein motors are directly recruited to autophagosomes or indirectly associated with amphisomes upon fusion of autophagosomes with LEs. Our previous study reveals that snapin acts as an adaptor recruiting dynein motors to LEs and thus plays a key role in mediating LE retrograde transport (Cai et al., 2010). Here, by using live rat dorsal root...
ganglion (DRG) neurons as the cell model combined with interrupting the formation of amphisomes and dynein–snapin coupling, we reveal a new mechanism underlying recruitment of dynein motors to AVs. Our study demonstrates that LE-loaded dynein–snapin complexes drive amphisome retrograde transport after autophagosome fuses with LE in distal axons. This motor sharing mechanism is crucial for neurons to maintain effective autophagic clearance through lysosomal degradation. Therefore, our study highlights a new cellular pathway to reduce autophagic stress in distal axons associated with several major neurodegenerative diseases.

**Results and discussion**

**Amphisomes are the predominant AVs moving retrogradely in axons**

Because mature acidic lysosomes are mainly enriched in the soma and proximal regions, we assume that the majority of autophagosomes in distal axons undergo (a) fusion with LEs to form amphisomes, and then (b) retrograde transport toward the soma where they mature into autolysosomes for degradation. To test our hypothesis, we examined whether autophagosomes mature into amphisomes by fusion with LEs. We used cultured DRG neurons because almost all neurites are tau-positive axons (Perlson et al., 2009) and microtubules in the neurites are uniformly polarized with plus ends outward (Maday et al., 2012). DRG neurons isolated from postnatal day 8–10 (P8–10) rats were cotransfected with autophagy marker GFP-LC3 and LE marker mRFP-Rab7 at day in vitro 0 (DIV0) and imaged at DIV3 after autophagy induction in serum-free medium for 3 h (starvation) as previously described (Maday et al., 2012). Under control conditions, GFP-LC3 was diffuse as cytosolic LC3-I, whereas Rab7-labeled LEs appeared as vesicular structures distributed along the same axons (Fig. 1 A). Upon starvation, GFP-LC3 was efficiently recruited to autophagosomes as lipidated LC3-II (Fig. 1 B). To our surprise, almost all the autophagosomes (97.62% ± 0.65) were colocalized with Rab7-labeled LEs under starvation conditions (Fig. 1 C), suggesting effective formation of amphisomes by fusion of the two organelles. Only a small portion (2.38% ± 0.65) of LC3-II puncta was not labeled with Rab7. Thus, amphisomes are the predominant form of axonal AVs after a 3-h starvation. Our observations are consistent with previous studies that the majority of axonal autophagosomes are colocalized with Rab7 but not with early endosomal markers Rab5 and RhoB (Lee et al., 2011; Maday et al., 2012).

Next, we monitored the retrograde motility of axonal AVs in live DRG neurons. Under nonstarvation, GFP-LC3 was diffuse, whereas LEs moved toward the soma along the same axon (Fig. 1 D). Upon starvation, amphisomes colocalized with LC3 III and Rab7 displayed predominant retrograde motility from distal axons to the soma (63.98% ± 0.38%, SEM), whereas anterograde ones accounted for only 6.34% ± 2.24% (Fig. 1, E and F). Strikingly, amphisomes and LEs showed similar retrograde motility in the same axons (Fig. 1 F). These observations prompted us to propose the hypothesis that autophagosomes acquire their retrograde motility by sharing LE-loaded dynein motors upon their fusion. Thus, any deficiency in LE transport may impair amphisome retrograde transport, consequently reducing autophagic clearance in neurons.

**LE-loaded dynein-snapin complex drives amphisome retrograde trafficking**

Dynein is the primary motor driving retrograde transport of both LEs and AVs from distal axons to the soma (Cai et al., 2010; Katsumata et al., 2010; Lee et al., 2011; Maday et al., 2012). Snapin serves as an adaptor that recruits dynein motors to LEs by binding the dynein intermediate chain (DIC; Cai et al., 2010). We immunoisolated LE organelles from adult mouse brains using anti-Rab7–coated magnetic beads. Because snapin-null mice exhibit embryonic and neonatal death, we generated snapin flox/flox conditional knockout (cKO) mice in which the snapin gene was deleted from the frontal cortex and hippocampus by Cre expression. We detected reduced recruitment of dynein DIC, but not dynactin p150

\[ \text{p150}^{\text{flk}} \], onto the purified LEs in snapin cKO mouse brains (Fig. S1, A and B). As controls, similar amounts of LE membrane proteins Rab7 and LAMP-2 were attached to LEs from wild-type (WT) and snapin cKO mouse brains. In addition, an anti-snapin antibody coimmunoprecipitated dynein subunits dynein heavy chain (DHC), DIC, and dynactin p150

\[ \text{p150}^{\text{flk}} \] from brain homogenates (Fig. S1 C), thus confirming snapin’s role in connecting dynein motors to LEs via binding to DIC.

To test whether LE-loaded dynein–snapin complexes are shared by autophagosomes, we examined the retrograde transport of amphisomes after disrupting DIC–snapin coupling. Impairing DIC–snapin coupling by expressing HA-snapin-L99K, a dominant-negative mutant defective in DIC binding (Cai et al., 2010), reduced retrograde transport of both amphisomes (40.07% ± 3.89%) and LEs (35.48% ± 2.13%) along the same axons relative to control neurons expressing HA vector (68.37% ± 3.25% for amphisomes and 52.84% ± 2.5% for LEs; P < 0.001; SEM), thus resulting in increased stationary pools of both organelles (Fig. 2, A and B). Consequently, more AVs were accumulated in axons expressing HA-snapin-L99K compared with that of control neurons (Fig. 2, C and D). Disrupting DIC–snapin coupling has no detectable impact on kinesin-driven anterograde transport of both organelles (Fig. 2 B) and did not impair the fusion between autophagosomes and LEs (Fig. 2 E). Furthermore, expressing snapin-L99K did not alter mitochondrial motility in DRG neurons (Fig. S1, D and E). These results suggest that LE-loaded dynein–snapin complexes selectively drive axonal retrograde transport of LEs and amphisomes.

**Autophagosomes acquire retrograde motility by fusion with LEs**

To test whether autophagosomes acquire retrograde motility upon fusion with LEs, we applied a molecular tool that specifically retains autophagosomes by blocking their fusion with LEs. Although bafilomycin A1 or Rab7 mutants were reported to block this fusion event, they may have a broad effect in membrane trafficking (Jäger et al., 2004; Fader et al., 2008; Klionsky et al., 2008). Recent studies in mammalian cells and *Drosophila melanogaster* established that syntaxin 17 (Stx17) mediates the fusion of autophagosomes with LE/lysosomal organelles by forming a SNARE fusion complex with SNAP29 and VAMP8
was a robust increase in the number of autophagosomes and a decrease in amphisomes in axons expressing Stx17-siRNA#1 relative to Scr-siRNA (P < 0.001). Among GFP-LC3–labeled AVs, only 27.25% of them are colabeled with mRFP-Rab7 with Stx17 knockdown, whereas in control axons, 97.44% of them are colabeled with Rab7. The phenotypes were further confirmed using a second independent Stx17-siRNA#2 in DRG neurons (Fig. S2, C–F). The phenotypes were also effectively rescued in neurons coexpressing a siRNA-resistant Stx17 silent mutant (Stx17*; Fig. S2, I–K). These results indicate that Stx17 knockdown effectively interferes with the formation of amphisomes in distal axons and further confirm that targeting GFP-LC3 to LEs is a SNARE-mediated fusion process, thus ruling out nonspecific clustering of GFP-LC3 and mRFP-Rab7.

Figure 1. Axonal amphisomes are the predominant AVs moving retrogradely. [A–C] Majority of autophagosomes in DRG axons target LEs after 3-h starvation. DRG neurons were cotransfected with GFP-LC3 and mRFP-Rab7 at DIV0 and imaged at DIV3 after incubation with serum (control; A) or serum-free medium (starvation; B) for 3 h. Images were taken from the middle segment of axons. Arrows indicate amphisomes colabeled with LC3 and Rab7, whereas arrowheads point out AV or LE alone. (D and E) Dual-channel kymographs showing comigration of GFP-LC3 and mRFP-Rab7 during 5-min time-lapse imaging. Vertical lines represent stationary organelles; slanted lines or curves to the right (negative slope) represent anterograde movement; to the left (positive slope), they indicate retrograde movement. An organelle is considered stationary if it remains immotile (displacement ≤ 10 µm). Under control conditions, GFP-LC3 was diffused, whereas LEs predominantly transported toward the soma (D). Under starvation, amphisomes [LC3 and Rab7] moved retrogradely (white lines in E). (F) Quantitative analysis showing that amphisomes and LEs share the similar predominant retrograde motility in DRG axons. Data were quantified from the total number of vesicles (V) in the total number of neurons (N) from greater than three experiments. Error bars: SEM. Student’s t test. Bars: (A and B) 5 µm; (D and E) 10 µm.
To test whether Stx17 knockdown impacts autophagosomal formation in DRG neurons, a phenotype reported in nonneuronal cells (Hamasaki et al., 2013), we used transmission EM (TEM) after Stx17 knockdown and starvation. Strikingly, the majority (57.10 ± 3.36%) of AVs in neurites are early stage initial AVs (AVi) with sealed double-membrane bilayers separated by an electron-lucent cleft (Fig. 3, F and G). These AVi vacuoles contain intact cytosol and/or organelles without any signs of degradation and were readily found in most micrographs examined. Nonsealed phagophore-like structures were hardly observed under Stx17 knockdown conditions. In neurons transfected with Scr-siRNA, the majority (84.84 ± 1.12%) of AVs are those engulfing electron-dense material and small vesicles, suggesting late-stage degradative AVs (AVd) after fusion with late endocytic organelles. These unique ultrastructural features of AVi versus AVd are consistent with the currently accepted ultrastructural characterization of AVs (Klionsky et al., 2012). Thus, our TEM analysis suggests that Stx17 knockdown in DRG neurons has no detectable effect on the formation of intact AVi, consistent with the observations in HeLa cells after Stx17...
Figure 3. Autophagosomes acquire retrograde motility by fusion with LEs. (A and B) Stx17 knockdown blocks formation of amphisomes. (A) Imaging was performed on the middle to distal segments of axons. Arrowheads indicate amphisomes (yellow) colabeled with LC3 and Rab7, whereas arrows indicate autophagosomes (green) without Rab7 labeling. Note a robust increase in autophagosomes and decrease in amphisomes in axons expressing Stx17-siRNA (B). The number of autophagosomes or amphisomes was expressed as a percentage of the total LC3-labeled AVs. Data were quantified from 28 axons in each group and a total number of 293 AVs in greater than three experiments. (C–E) Relative motility of amphisomes, autophagosomes, and LEs. (C, right) Note that in neurons with Stx17 knockdown, autophagosomes (green) were largely stationary, whereas LEs (red) underwent predominant retrograde transport, some of which passed through stationary autophagosomes. (C and E) Depleting Stx17 had no effect on the motility of LEs. Quantification was performed from the total number of AVs or LEs (V, vesicles) from the total number of neurons (N) in greater than three experiments. (F and G) TEM analysis showing early stage AVi versus late-stage AVd in DRG neurons. In Stx17 knockdown neurites, the majority of AV-like structures are early stage autophagosomes (AVi; green box and arrows) and were easily found. In control neurons, the majority of AVs are late-stage autophagic vacuoles (AVd) containing electron-dense material and small vesicles (orange box and arrows), suggesting fusion with LEs. Data were collected from 61 micrographs (5 × 5 µm) for each condition. (H) Dynamic de novo autophagosomal biogenesis, fusion, and retrograde transport in a DRG neuron growth cone. Time-lapse imaging was taken once every 3 s with acquisition exposure time at 150 ms in a Nikon spinning-disk confocal. White arrows point to the appearance of new autophagosomes within growth cone at 15, 54, and 63 s; the yellow arrow denotes fusion events, and yellow arrowheads indicate a retrograde motile amphisome between 33 and 87 s (also see Video 1). Error bars: SEM. Unpaired Student’s t test. Bars: (A and H) 5 µm; (C) 10 µm; (F, main images) 200 nm; (F, boxes) 100 nm.
relative to control neurons, in which DIC-2C was effectively recruited to AVs (Fig. 4, A–C). Inhibiting, but not abolishing, the fusion with two independent Stx17-siRNAs is consistent with some remaining amphisomes recruiting DIC-2C. In addition, although the majority of AVs coretrograde transport with DIC-2C in control neurons, most AVs in Stx17 knockdown neurons were stationary with apparent detachment of DIC-2C (Fig. 4, D and E). Quantitative analysis shows that the majority (90.11%) of retrograde motile AVs recruit DIC-2C, whereas only small portion (21.37%) of stationary AVs are labeled by DIC-2C (Fig. S3 B), thus providing a nice correlation between AV retrograde motility and DIC recruitment. As a control, blocking fusion has no observable effect on DIC recruitment to LEs (Fig. 4, C, F, and G). To further confirm that LEs recruit DIC via snapin–DIC coupling, we coexpressed DIC-2C–mRFP and GFP-Rab7 with snapin-L99K or HA vector in DRG neurons. Disrupting snapin–DIC coupling reduced the recruitment of DIC to LEs (Fig. S3, C and D), consistent with immune-isolation assays showing reduced association of endogenous DIC with the purified LE membranes in snapin cKO mouse brains (Fig. S1, knockdow (Itakura et al., 2012). It is possible that siRNA knockdown suppresses but does not abolish Stx17 expression; remaining Stx17 may support AV biogenesis (Hamasaki et al., 2013) but not be sufficient for SNARE-dependent organelle fusion.

We performed time-lapse imaging to monitor dynamic de novo autophagosomal biogenesis, fusion with LE, and retrograde transport in both growth cones (Fig. 3 H and Video 1) and along distal axon shafts (Fig. S3 A) of live DRG neurons immediately after starvation. Autophagosomes were generated within growth cones and distal axonal shafts and then quickly fused with LE and moved away from growth cones or transported toward the soma. The time frame for these steps is \( \sim 1 \) min. However, some AVs remained stationary if they did not fuse with LEs during the recording time.

To determine whether dynein motors are recruited to amphisomes but not autophagosomes, we performed time-lapse imaging in DRG neurons by expressing DIC-2C–mRFP, a dynein subunit (Ha et al., 2008) that targets motile AVs (Maday et al., 2012). Depleting Stx17 significantly decreased the recruitment of DIC-2C to AVs (P < 0.001)
A and B). Thus, our live-imaging analysis further indicates that autophagosomes acquire retrograde transport by recruiting dynein motors upon fusing with LEs.

Aberrant accumulation of AVs in snapin-deficient neuronal processes

Our previous study showed that disrupting DIC–snapin coupling impairs LE retrograde transport (Cai et al., 2010), thus raising the question of whether impaired dynein–snapin coupling retains AVs at distal axons. To address this issue, we examined cortical neuron ultrastructure at DIV14 from WT and snapin-null mice (Fig. 5, A–D). We observed that a striking number of double-membrane AVs accumulated within snapin−/− neurites (4.13 ± 0.47 vs. WT, 0.15 ± 0.05 per 10-µm length; P < 0.001) and presynaptic terminals (1.43 ± 0.13 relative to WT, 0.06 ± 0.03 per terminal; P < 0.001). These AV-like structures were rarely observed in WT neurons. The aberrant AV accumulation in distal neurites reflects defective retrograde transport, thus impairing autophagic clearance through the lysosomal system. Interestingly, immobilized AVs have a tendency to be clustered in axons (Fig. 5 B), consistent with the observation showing clustered AVs in Stx17 knockdown neurons (Fig. 3 F).
In DRG neurons, sequential recruitment of MT plus-end-binding proteins and the dynein complex facilitate loading of dynein onto MT plus ends, thus initiating retrograde transport for multiple cargos including LEs (Moughianian et al., 2013; Maday et al., 2014). In the current study, we propose a motor–adapter sharing model in which LE-loaded dynein–snapin complex is shared by amphisomes (Fig. 5 E). Distally generated autophagosomes acquire the dynein motor complex from LEs upon their fusion into amphisomes. Therefore, dynein–snapin (motor–adapter) complexes mediate amphisome retrograde transport to the soma, where mature acidic lysosomes are mainly located. In addition to snapin, other dynein adapters or scaffolding proteins may also be shared by LEs and AVs in different types of neurons through a similar mechanism. For example, a motor scaffolding protein, JIP1, interacts with LC3 and maintains AV retrograde transport through inactivating anterograde motor KIF5 (Fu et al., 2014); JIP1 knockdown also disrupts LE retrograde transport. Huntingtin, a DIC-binding protein (Caviston et al., 2007), mediates transport of both endosomes and AVs along axons (Caviston et al., 2011; Wong and Holzbaur, 2014). RILP, a Rab7-interacting lysosomal protein, recruits dynactin to LEs (Johansson et al., 2007) and was also implicated in autophagic clearance in Purkinje neurons (Bains et al., 2011). Our study reveals a new mechanism underlying recruitment of dynein motors to amphisomes, thus driving AV transport from distal axons toward the soma. This trafficking route is crucial for neurons to maintain effective autophagic clearance through lysosomal degradation in the soma. Mechanistic insight into this fundamental process will advance our understanding of several major neurodegenerative diseases associated with autophagic stress in distal axons and at synapses.

Materials and methods

Antibodies and constructs

Source of the antibodies is as follows: mouse IgG, monoclonal anti-Rab7, rabbit polyclonal anti-LAMP2, and rabbit polyclonal anti-Stx17 (Sigma-Aldrich), monoclonal anti-dynein IC74 (EMD Millipore), monoclonal anti-dynein IC74 (EMD Millipore), monoclonal anti-arginine mono-transferase and rabbit polyclonal anti-arginine mono-transferase (Syngeneic Systems). mRFP-Rab7 (promoter: cytomegalovirus [CMV]; backbone: pmRFP-C3) was purchased from Addgene. Full-length snapin was cloned into the Eco RI–Kpn I sites of the cytomegalovirus [CMV]; backbone: pmRFP-C3) was purchased from Addgene. Full-length snapin was cloned into the Eco RI–Kpn I sites of the CMV–HA backbone. mRFP-Rab7 (promoter: cytomegalovirus [CMV]; backbone: pmRFP-C3) was purchased from Addgene. Full-length snapin was cloned into the Eco RI–Kpn I sites of the CMV–HA backbone.

Live-cell imaging and image analysis

Before imaging, DRG neurons were transfected to Neurobasal medium with 2 mM GlutaMAX and B27 (without 10% fetal bovine serum) for a 3-h starvation at 37°C in a 5% CO₂ incubator. Imaging was performed in FluoroBrite DMEM media (Life Technologies) with 2 mM GlutaMAX and B27. Neurons were time-lapse imaged under an environmental chamber in which temperature was maintained at 37°C and CO₂ concentration at 5%. DRG neurons were visualized with a microscope (LSM 510; Carl Zeiss) equipped with a Plan Neofluar 40x NA 1.3 oil immersion objective. Single-color time-lapse images of 1,024 × 1,024 pixels (8-bit) were taken consecutively every 2 s with green channel followed by red channel. Imaging duration varies from 1.5 to 10 min. Kymographs were generated by ImageJ (National Institutes of Health) and converted to QuickTime (Apple) videos. Background subtraction and adjustment of brightness/contrast were applied to the whole image. A vesicle was considered stationary if it moved a net distance ≤10 µm for the duration of the entire time-lapse imaging. A motile one at either direction was counted only if the net displacement was ≥10 µm.

For the video, DRG neurons plated on a two-chambered cover glass (Thermo Fisher Scientific) were subjected to imaging after medium was changed into prewarmed FluoroBrite DMEM media (Life Technologies) with 2 mM GlutaMAX and B27. Dual-color time-lapse images were taken once every 3 s with acquisition exposure time at 150 ms (green channel for mRFP-Rab7 and red channel for DIC2C-mRFP with GFP-LC3 or GFP-Rab7 (Fig. 4), the ratio is 1:2; for cotransfection of GFP-Rab7 and DIC2C-mRFP with HA, HA-snapin, or HA-snapin-L99K (Fig. 2) or Stx17* (Fig. S2), the ratio is 1:1:3; for cotransfection of DIC2CmRFP with GFP-LC3 or GFP-Rab7 (Fig. 4), the ratio is 1:2; for cotransfection of GFP-Rab7 and DIC2CmRFP with HA or HA-snapin-L99K, the ratio is 1:3 (Fig. S1). The concentration of siRNA for transfection in DRG neurons is 30 nM. We used a Nucleofector (Lonza) for transfection according to the manufacturer’s specifications and plated on coverslips/two-chambered coverglass coated with poly-ornithine (Sigma-Aldrich; diluted 1:3 in ddH₂O) and laminin (Roche; diluted 1:50 in PBS). Neurons were then maintained in Neurobasal medium supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum, 2 mM GlutaMAX, and B27 (Invitrogen) for 3 d at 37°C in a 5% CO₂ incubator.

Immunolocalization of LEs

Antibody staining was carried out in accordance with National Institutes of Health guidelines and approved by the National Institutes of Health, NINDS/National Institute on Deafness and Other Communication Disorders, Animal Care and Use Committee. Whole brains from adult WT and penicillin-streptomycin (Invitrogen). Subconfluent HEK293T cells were transfected with Stealth RNAi oligonucleotides [10 nM] using Lipofectamine RNAiMAX (Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Cells were transfected and maintained for additional 3 d followed by biochemical analysis.

DRG neurons were isolated from postnatal 8–10-d Sprague–Dawley rat spinal cords in Hank’s buffered salt solution and digested in 2.5 U/ml collagenase (Roche) and 200 U/ml elastase (Advanced Biotechnological Corporation) at 37°C for 30 min followed by a 35-min shaking at room temperature. Neurons were then collected with 70-µm nylon cell strainer (Falcon). Before plating, DRG neurons were transfected with various plasmid DNA and/or Stealth RNAi oligonucleotides. For GFP-LC3 and mRFP-Rab7 cotransfection, the plasmid concentration ratio is 1:1; for co-transfection of GFP-LC3 and mRFP-Rab7 with HA, HA-snapin, or HA-snapin-L99K (Fig. 2) or Stx17* (Fig. S2), the ratio is 1:1:3; for cotransfection of DIC2CmRFP with GFP-LC3 or GFP-Rab7 (Fig. 4), the ratio is 1:2; for cotransfection of GFP-Rab7 and DIC2CmRFP with HA or HA-snapin-L99K, the ratio is 1:3 (Fig. S1). The concentration of siRNA for transfection in DRG neurons is 30 nM. We used a Nucleofector [Lonza] for transfection according to the manufacturer’s specifications and plated on coverslips/two-chambered coverglass coated with poly-ornithine (Sigma-Aldrich; diluted 1:3 in ddH₂O) and laminin (Roche; diluted 1:50 in PBS). Neurons were then maintained in Neurobasal medium supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum, 2 mM GlutaMAX, and B27 (Invitrogen) for 3 d at 37°C in a 5% CO₂ incubator.
conditional snapin-deficient mice were collected for immunosolubilization of IEs. The tissues were gently homogenized with ice-cold homogenization buffer (0.25 M sucrose, 10 mM Tris, pH 7.4, 1 mM EGTA, and protease inhibitor) using a glass rod with three to four gentle strokes of the pestle of the 30-mL Dounce homogenizer and then centrifuged at 700 g for 10 min, after which the supernatant was collected. This step was repeated, and the first and second supernatants were combined. The supernatant was centrifuged at 20,000 g for 10 min. The pellet was resuspended in homogenization buffer using a glass rod and recentrifuged at 20,000 g for 10 min. The resultant crude light membrane pellets were then suspended in homogenization buffer and subjected to immunosolubilization with superparamagnetic beads (Dynabeads sheep anti–mouse IgG; Invitrogen) coated with an anti-Rab7 monoclonal antibody or control mouse IgG (Sigma-Aldrich), as previously described [Cai et al., 2010; Ye and Cai, 2014]. In brief, after washing the superparamagnetic beads twice for 5 min with isolation buffer (Ca2+- and Mg2+-free PBS with 0.1% BSA and 2 mM EDTA), the beads were incubated with 2 μg anti-Rab7 monoclonal antibody or control mouse IgG at 4°C for 2 h on a rotator. After incubation, wash the beads with the isolation buffer twice and once with incubation buffer. Approximately 400 μg light membrane fractions from brain homogenates were mixed with incubation buffer (PBS, pH 7.4, with 5% fetal bovine serum and 2 mM EDTA) containing magnetic beads (final reaction volume of 1 ml) and incubated for 4 h at 4°C on a rotator. After incubation, beads were collected with a magnetic device (Magnetic Particle Concentrator; Invitrogen), washed five times with incubation buffer and three times with PBS for 10 min each at 4°C, and then resolved by 4–12% Bis-Tris NuPAGE for sequential Western blots on the same membranes after stripping between each antibody application.

Online supplemental material
Fig. S1 shows impaired recruitment of dynein DIC to LE membranes in snapin cKO mouse brains. Fig. S2 demonstrates that depleting Stx17 blocks formation of autophagosomes preferentially transports TrkB signaling endosomes. Fig. S3 demonstrates that depletion of Rab7–RILP–p150Glued, ORP1L, and the receptor JIP1 regulates processive dynein-driven transport of autophagosomes.
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Figure S1. **Deleting snapin in mouse brains impairs recruitment of dynein DIC to LE membranes.** (A and B) Immunoisolation (A) and quantitative analysis (B) showing reduced association of DIC with LEs in snapin flox/flox cKO mouse brains. LEs were immunoisolated from light membrane fractions of adult mouse brains with superparamagnetic beads coated with an anti-Rab7 antibody or normal IgG as a control. Bead-bound membrane organelles were resolved by PAGE and sequentially detected with antibodies on the same membranes after stripping between applications of each antibody. Note that reduced tethering of dynein DIC to LEs in snapin flox/flox cKO mice (A, red box) is specific because LE markers Rab7 and LAMP-2 were equally associated with the organelles in WT and snapin cKO mouse brains. Data were analyzed from three pairs (n = 3) of mice, normalized by integrated protein intensity from WT mice, and expressed as means ± SEM. Input: 10% of total proteins in immunoisolation. (C) Snapin–dynein motor complexes were coimmunoprecipitated by an anti-snapin antibody from brain homogenates. Snapin-associated proteins were sequentially detected on the same membranes with antibodies against dynein motor subunits DHC, DIC, and dynactin p150Glu. Input: 10% of brain homogenates in coimmunoprecipitation. (D and E) Representative kymographs (D) and quantitative analysis (E) showing relative mitochondrial motility in DRG neurons expressing HA-snapin-L99K or HA control. Total number of neurons examined is 17 for each transfection condition. Error bars: SEM. Mann–Whitney test. Bars, 10 µm.
Figure S2. Depleting Stx17 blocks formation of amphisomes and immobilizes AVs along axons. (A) Effective knockdown of endogenous Stx17 with Stx17-siRNA #1 in HEK293T cells. Cells were transfected with 10 nM Scr- or Stx17-siRNA #1 oligonucleotides and analyzed by immunoblotting 3 d after transfection using antibodies against Stx17 and GAPDH. The mean intensity of Stx17 was normalized to GAPDH from the same blots and expressed as a ratio relative to control Scr-siRNA. Data were collected from three repeats (n = 3). (B) Effective knockdown of Stx17 with Stx17-siRNA #1 in DRG neurons. Neurons were transfected at DIV0 with 30 nM Scr- or Stx17-siRNA #1 oligonucleotides and analyzed by immunoblotting 3 d after transfection using antibodies against Stx17 and GAPDH. Data were collected from three repeats (n = 3). Note that the remaining Stx17 signals could be a result of (a) siRNA suppression but not fully depletion of endogenous Stx17 or (b) limited transfection efficiency in DRG neurons. Only transfected neurons were selected for imaging analysis. (C) Effective knockdown of endogenous Stx17 with Stx17-siRNA#2 in HEK293T cells. Cells were transfected with 10 nM Scr- or Stx17-siRNA#2 oligonucleotides and analyzed by immunoblotting 3 d after transfection using antibodies against Stx17 and GAPDH. (D–F) Representative images of DRG neuron axons (D and E) and quantitative analysis (F) showing that depleting Stx17 with Stx17-siRNA#2 blocks formation of amphisomes. DRG neurons were cotransfected with GFP-LC3, mRFP-Rab7, and Scr-siRNA or Stx17-siRNA#2 (30 nM) at DIV0 and subjected to live imaging at DIV3 after a 3-h starvation. Imaging was performed on axonal segments between middle to distal regions. Arrows indicate amphisomes colabeled with LC3 and Rab7 (D), whereas arrowheads indicate autophagosomes not labeled with Rab7 (E). Note a robust increase in the number of autophagosomes and decrease in amphisomes in neurons expressing Stx17-siRNA#2. Number of vesicles was expressed as a percentage of the total number of LC3-labeled organelles. Data were quantified from 30 axons and the total number of 215 AVs in greater than three experiments. (G and H) Representative kymographs (G) and quantitative analysis (H) showing that amphisomes display predominant retrograde motility, whereas depleting Stx17 immobilizes autophagosomes during 1.5-min dual-channel time-lapse imaging. Data were quantified from number of AVs (V) in the total number of neurons (N) from more than three experiments. (I–K) The siRNA#1-resistant Stx17 silent mutant (Stx17*) rescues the knockdown phenotypes. Stx17* was created by substituting three nucleotides in the Stx17-siRNA#1–targeting region (A528G, G531A, and C534T). Stx17* expression was resistant to Stx17-siRNA#1 interference (I, red box) and abolished the inhibitory role of Stx17 knockdown in amphisomal formation in DRG neurons (J and K). Arrows indicate amphisomes colabeled with LC3 and Rab7, whereas arrowheads indicate autophagosomes not labeled by Rab7. Data were quantified from the total number of neurons (N) from more than three experiments. Error bars: SEM. Student’s t-test. Bars: (D, E, and J) 5 µm; (G) 10 µm.
Figure S3. **Dynamic de novo autophagosomal biogenesis, fusion, and retrograde transport along the distal axon shaft of a DRG neuron.** (A) Time-lapse images were taken every 3 s with acquisition exposure time at 150 ms in a Nikon spinning-disk confocal A1R with the PFS (Achromat 100×, 1.49 NA oil immersion objective) in an environmental chamber at 37°C. White arrows point to the appearance of new autophagosome (green) in the distal axon shaft at 60, 75, and 138 s; yellow arrows denote the fusing of autophagosome with LE at 84 and 102 s; and yellow arrowheads indicate a retrograde motive amphisome toward the soma between 87 and 138 s after fusion occurred. (B) Quantitative analysis showing relative DIC-2C recruitment to stationary versus retrograde motile AVs (GFP-LC3) after expression of Stx17-siRNA or control Scr-siRNA in live DRG neurons (n = 40). Note that the majority (90.11%) of retrograde motile AVs recruit DIC-2C, whereas only a small portion (21.37%) of stationary AVs are labeled by DIC-2C. (C and D) Representative images and quantitative analysis showing that disrupting snapin-DIC coupling by overexpressing snapin-L99K reduces recruitment of DIC to LEs. Yellow arrows indicate LEs recruiting DIC-2C, green arrows indicate LEs not recruiting DIC-2C, and red arrows point to DIC-2C not associated with LEs. The total neuron numbers for quantification were indicated inside the bars. Bars, 5 µm. Error bars: SEM. Mann–Whitney test.
Video 1. Dynamic de novo autophagosomal biogenesis, fusion, and retrograde transport in the growth cone of a DRG neuron. DRG neurons were cotransfected with autophagy marker GFP-LC3 (green) and LE marker mRFP-Rab7 (red) at DIV0 and imaged at DIV3 immediately after starvation. Time-lapse images were taken every 3 s with acquisition exposure time at 150 ms in a Nikon spinning-disk confocal. White arrow points to the appearance of a new autophagosome (green) within the growth cone, and the yellow arrow indicates fusion with a LE (red) into an amphisome (yellow) and moving away from the growth cone. The time for completing these three steps is ~1 min. Bar, 5 µm.