The actin-binding protein EPS8 binds VE-cadherin and modulates YAP localization and signaling
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Vascular endothelial (VE)–cadherin transfers intracellular signals contributing to vascular hemostasis. Signaling through VE-cadherin requires association and activity of different intracellular partners. Yes-associated protein (YAP)/TAZ transcriptional cofactors are important regulators of cell growth and organ size. We show that EPS8, a signaling adapter regulating actin dynamics, is a novel partner of VE-cadherin and is able to modulate YAP activity. By biochemical and imaging approaches, we demonstrate that EPS8 associates with the VE-cadherin complex of remodeling junctions promoting YAP translocation to the nucleus and transcriptional activation. Conversely, in stabilized junctions, 14–3-3–YAP associates with the VE-cadherin complex, whereas Eps8 is excluded. Junctional association of YAP inhibits nuclear translocation and inactivates its transcriptional activity both in vitro and in vivo in Eps8-null mice. The absence of Eps8 also increases vascular permeability in vivo, but did not induce other major vascular defects. Collectively, we identified novel components of the adherens junction complex, and we introduce a novel molecular mechanism through which the VE-cadherin complex controls YAP transcriptional activity.

Introduction

Endothelial cells (ECs) form the inner lining of blood vessels, and from one of their most important properties is to separate blood from underlying tissues. Their role as a selective permeability barrier is mainly achieved through the coordinated opening and closure of cell-to-cell junctions. In addition to maintaining adhesion between neighboring cells, junctions play crucial roles in transducing chemical and mechanical signals that regulate contact-induced inhibition of cell growth, apoptosis, gene expression, and vessel formation and stability (Vandenbroucke et al., 2008; Giampietro et al., 2012; Giannotta et al., 2013).

EC homotypic adhesion is mainly controlled by two types of adhesive structures: tight and adherens junctions (AJs; McCreanor et al., 2009; Vestweber et al., 2009; Giannotta et al., 2013). The key component of AJs is transmembrane vascular endothelial (VE)–cadherin, an endothelial-specific member of the cadherin family. VE-cadherin is physically connected to a large number of intracellular partners that mediate its anchorage to the actin cytoskeleton and the transfer of signals essential to modulate endothelial functions (Vestweber et al., 2009; Dejana and Giampietro, 2012). Not surprisingly, changes in the structure and composition of AJs have profound effects on vascular permeability as well as on the overall vascular homeostasis (Vestweber et al., 2010).

Junctions are dynamic structures whose regulation and structural changes strongly impact adhesion strength and tissue plasticity. ECs from different types of vessels and also from different organs show differences in junction composition and organization (Orsenigo et al., 2012; Kluger et al., 2013).

Recent studies revealed that the cotranscriptional regulator YAP (Yes-associated protein), originally characterized as the molecular target of the size-controlling Hippo pathway (Valerius et al., 2014), is a key relay for the transmission of mechanical inputs into gene transcriptional programs (Dupont et al., 2011). Indeed, multiple signaling pathways integrating biophysical
and biochemical cues converge to regulate the activity of YAP (Morgan et al., 2013). YAP, in turn, is essential to modulate cell proliferation and differentiation, apoptosis, organ size, and morphogenesis of various tissues (Zhao et al., 2011). In epithelial tissues, for example, YAP has been shown to be regulated by the formation of cell–cell contacts, to be required for contact inhibition of cell proliferation (Zhao et al., 2007), and to respond to mechanical perturbation of the epithelial sheet (Aragona et al., 2013). In all these situations, actin cytoskeletal–based mechanical forces have been shown to be the overarching regulator of the activity of YAP and its related molecule TAZ, setting responsiveness to a variety of key signaling axes, including the Hippo, WNT, and G protein–coupled receptor pathways. Notably, Yap−/− mice display an early embryonic lethal phenotype resulting from defects in yolk sac vasculogenesis, chorioallantoic fusion, and embryonic axis elongation (Morin-Kensicki et al., 2006), suggesting a role of this protein also in the control of endothelial morphogenetic processes. The molecular determinants through which ECs control YAP regulation remain, however, largely unexplored.

The EGF receptor kinase substrate 8 (EPS8) is a signaling adapter protein involved in the transduction of signal from RAS to RAC (Scita et al., 1999). EPS8 also directly binds to actin filaments controlling the rate of polymerization/depolymerization by capping the fast-growing ends of filaments (Croce et al., 2004; Disanza et al., 2004, 2006; Hertzog et al., 2010). Consistently, EPS8, in vivo, is required for optimal actin-based motility impacting migratory properties of different cells (Frittolli et al., 2011). Furthermore, EPS8 regulates the proper architectural organization of actin-based structures, including intestinal microvilli and stereocilia (Disanza et al., 2006; Hertzog et al., 2010; Tocchetti et al., 2010; Manor et al., 2011). One figure 1. EPS8 is a novel component of AJ complexes in ECs. (A) Detection of full-length VE-cadherin–EPS8 interaction by LUMIER (top); the data are representative of five independent experiments. Association of EPS8 to full-length VE-cadherin (bottom). Coimmunoprecipitation and Western blot of endogenous VE-cadherin and EPS8 from extract of VE-Cadherin–positive ECs. The dashed line indicates an LIR of 2, a conservative LIR cutoff. (B) Analysis of VE-cadherin cytoplasmic tail and EPS8 full-length interaction in vitro by Hi5 pull-down assay (left). Analysis of the interaction between VE-cadherin cytoplasmic tail and EPS8 deleted mutants (asterisks) in vitro by GST pull-down assay (right). (C) Association of EPS8 to VE-cadherin full-length (VE-cad), Δ-p120, and Δ-icat mutants upon transient expression in COS-1 cells. Cells were transfected with the indicated constructs, immunoprecipitated with specific EPS8 antibody or isotype control IgG, and blotted as indicated. (D) IF microscopy of VE-cadherin–positive ECs in early confluent (24 h) conditions. Cells were double stained with anti–VE-cadherin (red) and anti-EPS8 (green) antibodies. Functional EPS8 colocalizing with VE-cadherin (arrows) was detected. The dashed outline indicates the magnified area to the right. (E) WB analysis (left) of EPS8 expression levels in various stages of confluence conditions (see Materials and methods section). Vinculin is the loading control. IF microscopy (right) of WT lung–derived ECs in various stages of confluence conditions. The IF of Eps8−/− ECs confirmed the specificity of the staining. Bars: (D and E) 20 μm; (magnification) 10 μm. IVB, in vitro binding; TOT, total cell lysate.
additional cellular process in which EPS8 is implicated is the regulation of intracellular trafficking of various membrane receptors (Lanzetti et al., 2000; Di Fiore and Scita, 2002; Auciello et al., 2013). EPS8 exerts this function either through its direct interaction with the GTPase-activating protein, RN-tre, which controls the activity of RAB5, a master regulator of early endosomes (Lanzetti et al., 2000; Di Fiore and Scita, 2002), or by interacting with the clathrin-mediated endocytosis machinery (Taylor et al., 2012; Auciello et al., 2013).

Here, we identified EPS8 as a novel partner of VE-cadherin at AJs. We also found that EPS8 regulates the dynamic organization of endothelial junctions and the transduction of intracellular signals by tuning YAP transcriptional activity.

Results
EPS8 is a novel component of AJ complexes
To identify novel components of the VE-cadherin signaling complex, we set up a LUMIER (luminescence-based mammalian interactome mapping) automated high throughput screening. This approach is designed for the systematic mapping of dynamic protein–protein interaction networks in mammalian cells (Barrios-Rodiles et al., 2005). Full-length VE-cadherin and Δ-β-catenin mutant, devoid of the C-terminal interaction domain with β-catenin (Fig. 1 C, bottom; Navarro et al., 1995), were fused to Renilla luciferase (RL) and coexpressed with a library
of individual Flag-tagged partners in mammalian HEK293T cells. We next assessed the association of VE-cadherin wild-type (WT) and mutant proteins with Flag-tagged partners by performing a luciferase assay on anti-Flag immunoprecipitates. Among a variety of identified interactors, we focused our investigation on EPS8, which bound full-length VE-cadherin-RL, but not Δ-β-cat-RL mutant (Fig. 1 A, top). We validated the physiological relevance of this association by communoprecipitation of endogenous proteins from whole WT EC extracts (Fig. 1 A, bottom). In vitro pull-down experiments using recombinant purified proteins indicated that EPS8 binds directly, through its C-terminal domain, to the C-terminal region of the cytoplasmic tail of VE-cadherin (Fig. 1 B). Comimonoprecipitation experiments between EPS8 and a set of deletion mutants of VE-cadherin corroborated the results obtained with LUMIER. EPS8 efficiently bound to WT and a VE-cadherin mutant devoid of the interaction domain with p120 (Δ-p120; Lampugnani et al., 1997) but failed to associate with a Δ-β-cad VE-cadherin, which binds neither endogenous β-catenin nor α-catenin (Fig. 1 C, top), indicating that the region spanning amino acids 703–784 of VE-cadherin is indispensable for this interaction. Confocal analyses of early confluent WT (24 h) ECs showed that EPS8 was enriched along cell-to-cell junctions and largely colocalized with VE-cadherin (Fig. 1 D, arrows and magnification). Notably, EPS8 expression and junctional localization were down-regulated in long confluent WT EC culture (72 h; Fig. 1 E). To confirm the specificity of the staining, we also performed immunofluorescence (IF) analysis on early confluent Eps8-null ECs (EPS8−/) that consistently did not reveal any signal.

**EPS8 increases the dynamic turnover of VE-cadherin**

To gain functional cues into the role of EPS8 in ECs, we derived ECs from lungs of eps8-null mice, which were subsequently reconstituted with either WT EPS8-EGFP (EPS8+ cells) or control EGFP (EPS8− cells) lentiviral vectors (Fig. 2 A; Menna et al., 2009). EC populations are characterized by high phenotypic heterogeneity (Ribatti et al., 2002); thus, we decided to use this approach to work with the same cell line, differing only for the expression of EPS8. The level of the EPS8 in EPS8+ ECs is ∼30% more than WT ECs (Fig. S1 A), but this does not significantly impact the molecular mechanisms studied, as shown in the following paragraph. In the absence of EPS8, the major components of the AJ complexes were up-regulated (Fig. 2 C) at junctions (Fig. 2 D), but the corresponding mRNAs remained unchanged (Fig. 2 B), suggesting a posttranscriptional effect. VE-cadherin is internalized through clathrin-mediated pathways in a process that requires VE-cadherin phosphorylation and ubiquitination (Gavard and Gutkind, 2006; Orsenigo et al., 2012). Expression of EPS8 increased VE-cadherin phosphorylation on serine 665 and ubiquitination (Fig. 2 E), suggesting enhanced VE-cadherin turnover.

**EPS8 and YAP localization at junctions is mutually exclusive**

Cadherin complexes can either repress or stimulate gene transcription. Considering the role of EPS8 in cytoskeletal organization, we asked whether the association of EPS8 with VE-cadherin might influence signaling pathways modulated by actin remodeling. We focused on the transcriptional cofactor YAP because its nuclear-cytoplasmic shuttling and activity may be regulated by cadherin-mediated cell–cell contacts (Kim et al., 2011) and cytoksuite-dependent mechanical forces (Dumont et al., 2011). YAP was, as shown in other cell types (Aragona et al., 2013), almost entirely localized in the nucleus of sparse WT ECs (Fig. 3, A and B). Conversely, in early confluent and long confluent WT endothelial monolayers, it was gradually excluded from the nuclei (Fig. 3, A and B) and redistributed along cell-to-cell junctions, where it colocalized with VE-cadherin (Fig. 3, A and B). To detect the junctional localization of YAP, cells have been fixed with 1% PFA in 2.5-mM triethanolamine (see Materials and methods section IF microscopy). In vivo, YAP localization at cell–cell junctions was detectable in the vessels of the brain and the retina of neonatal mice. Conversely, in vessels of other organs such as spleen, kidney, and liver, where the junctions are loose, YAP expression at junctions was decreased (Fig. 3 C).

Overall, these in vitro and in vivo data suggest that EPS8 and YAP localize at junctions in a mutually exclusive and temporally distinct manner. In particular, EPS8 is a marker of early and dynamic junctions, whereas YAP is mostly recruited at stable junctions.

We then asked whether EPS8 might regulate YAP signaling. To this end, we compared EPS8 to EPS8+ ECs in early confluent conditions when EPS8 is prominently localized at intercellular junctions in WT ECs (Fig. 1 E). Under these conditions, we found that in EPS8+ ECs, YAP phosphorylation on serine 127, an inhibitory posttranslational modification (Zhao et al., 2007), was increased (Fig. 4 A), and YAP nuclear fraction was diminished (Fig. 4 B and C) as well as the expression of its target genes (Fig. 4 D), whose mRNAs are reduced by ∼10-fold. Of note, as reported in Fig. S1 B, the expression of YAP target genes was significantly high in sparse conditions, and it was not affected by the presence or absence of EPS8. YAP target gene expression was strongly reduced by early cell confluence as previously published (Schlegelmilch et al., 2011), but the reductions were significantly less in the absence of EPS8, supporting the idea that EPS8 sustained YAP transcriptional activity only when it was localized at AJs (Fig. 1 E).

**Inhibition of YAP transcriptional activity is mediated by the activation of the PI3K-Akt pathway upon VE-cadherin clustering at AJs**

A phosphorylation-dependent "shuttling" between the cytoplasm and the nucleus regulates the transcriptional activity of
YAP (Varelas, 2014). We found that VE-cadherin expression and clustering was important to limit YAP transcriptional activity. As reported in Fig. S2 A, and consistent with previously published literature (Choi et al., 2015), the up-regulation of YAP target genes was severely inhibited in VE-cadherin–positive as compared with VE-cadherin–null ECs in confluent conditions. VE-cadherin clustering is known to activate Akt through PI(3) K (Carmeliet et al., 1999; Taddei et al., 2008). Akt, in turn, was shown to be able to phosphorylate YAP in serine 127 in vitro and in ECs (Basu et al., 2003; Choi et al., 2015). We therefore investigated whether EPS8 localization at AJs might affect Akt activation and Akt-dependent YAP phosphorylation. Both Akt and YAP phosphorylation were increased in EPS8− cells as compared with EPS8+ cells (Fig. 5 A). Pharmacological inhibition of PI(3)K with LY294002 reduced both Akt and YAP phosphorylation in EPS8− and EPS8+ cells to a comparable level. LY294002 treatment also restored the expression of YAP-dependent genes in EPS8− ECs to levels similar to those observed in EPS8+ cells (Fig. 5 B). Conversely, the ectopic expression of myr-Akt, a constitutively active form of Akt (Brown et al., 2005), increased the phosphorylation of YAP in EPS8+ but not in EPS8− ECs. This lack of effect is likely a result of an already high basal Akt phosphorylation in the latter cell type (Fig. 5 C). myr-Akt infection was also able to reduce YAP target gene expression in EPS8+ but not EPS8− ECs (Fig. 5 D).

In epithelial cells, YAP transcriptional activity is reduced by the organization of E-cadherin–based AJs through the activation of the Hippo signaling pathway (Kim et al., 2011) and by the activity of small GTPases that act primarily through regulation of the actin cytoskeleton (Dupont et al., 2011). Of note, in ECs small GTPase activity is controlled by VE-cadherin clustering (Pamekoek et al., 2011; Giamotta et al., 2013; Goddard and Iruela-Arispe, 2013). However, neither the Hippo pathway (Fig. S3 A) nor the activity of RHO (Fig. S3 B) and RAC1 (Fig. S3 C) was altered by removal of EPS8.

Collectively, these data suggest that in ECs inhibition of PI(3)K–Akt is the prominent pathway through which EPS8 increases YAP activity.

**Inhibition of YAP transcriptional activity is mediated by YAP sequestration by β-catenin at AJs**

The association of YAP with β-catenin limits its transcriptional activity (Silvis et al., 2011). β-Catenin sequesters YAP in the cytoplasm, thus preventing its dephosphorylation and nuclear translocation (Schlegelmilch et al., 2011; Silvis et al., 2011). We investigated whether EPS8 might play a role in the regulation of this pathway. An endogenous YAP–β-catenin complex could be detected by communoprecipitation only in EPS8+, but not in EPS8− ECs (Fig. 5 E). This complex associated with VE-cadherin as revealed by communoprecipitation experiments (Fig. 5 F), and β-catenin was required for YAP–VE-cadherin interaction. Notably, removal of the VE-cadherin binding surface for β-catenin, which is required for α-catenin binding but not for p120, reduced, as expected, YAP phosphorylation (Fig. S4 A), prevented YAP binding to VE-cadherin (Fig. S4 B), and enhanced YAP transcriptional activity (Fig. S4 C). Finally, silencing of α-catenin impaired YAP localization at AJs (Fig. 5 F), reduced YAP phosphorylation (Fig. 5 G), and increased its transcriptional activity (Fig. 5 H) in a way more marked in the absence than in the presence of EPS8. These results suggest that EPS8 restrains the interaction of YAP with α-catenin. As a consequence, upon removal of EPS8, YAP is more efficiently sequestered into junctional complexes that prevent its nuclear translocation and transcriptional activity. Of note, and in line with what has been previously published (Schlegelmilch et al., 2011; Silvis et al., 2011), we were unable to detect a complex between TAZ, the related protein of YAP, and α-catenin, suggesting that TAZ activity may be regulated by different mechanisms, but specific studies are required to test this hypothesis.
EPS8 and the 14–3–3–YAP complex compete for binding to α-catenin

A common mechanism of cytoplasmic retention of nuclear proteins is mediated by the binding of 14–3–3 proteins, which interact with phosphorylated serine and threonine residues (Muslin and Xing, 2000). Consistently, YAP phosphorylated on serine 127 interacts with 14–3-3 proteins (Zhao et al., 2007), which were also shown to mediate its association with α-catenin (Schlegelmilch et al., 2011) in keratinocytes. To assess whether a similar complex could be detected in WT ECs, we immunoprecipitated YAP from EC extracts (36 h of culture). We were able to detect a VE-cadherin–α-catenin–14–3-3–YAP complex from which EPS8 was excluded (Fig. 6 A). In contrast, α-catenin and VE-cadherin, but neither YAP nor 14–3-3 proteins, were recovered in EPS8 immunoprecipitates. These results support the idea of two different, mutually exclusive pools of VE-cadherin. EPS8 may compete with the 14–3–3–YAP complex for the interaction with α-catenin, ultimately controlling YAP activation by inhibiting its retention at AJs. We verified this hypothesis using recombinant purified proteins in vitro pull-down precipitated YAP from EC extracts (36 h of culture).
periments. We found that EPS8 directly bound α-catenin through its N-terminal domain (Fig. 6 B). This latter observation suggested that EPS8 and the 14–3–3–YAP complex may compete for binding to α-catenin. By monitoring the direct association of purified α-catenin to immobilized 14–3–3 proteins (Schlegelmilch et al., 2011), we found that this interaction was abrogated in the presence of an equimolar amount of EPS8 (Fig. 6 C), supporting the idea of a competition between EPS8 and 14–3-3–YAP for α-catenin binding.

A critical role of YAP is to release epithelial cells from contact inhibition of cell growth (Zhao et al., 2011). This latter phenomenon is induced by the formation of cadherin junctional complexes that, in addition to physically sequestering YAP at junctions (Zhao et al., 2011), also promote YAP phosphorylation, further impairing YAP nuclear translocation and transcriptional activity (Dupont et al., 2011). The growth inhibitory function was ascribed to VE-cadherin in ECs (Caveda et al., 1996; Giampietro et al., 2012), suggesting that EPS8 may contribute to this effect through the regulation of VE-cadherin stability at junctions (Fig. 2) and the consequent modulation of YAP localization and activity (Fig. 4).

To provide evidence in this direction, we monitored contact inhibition of cell growth in WT ECs, and we found that with the establishment of cell confluence, both Akt and YAP increased their phosphorylation level (Fig. 7 A), and in parallel YAP transcriptional activity was reduced (Fig. 7 B). Consistently, the removal of EPS8, which both dampens YAP activity and increases VE-cadherin localization at junctions, significantly reduced the number of confluent cells needed to achieve growth arrest (Fig. 7 C).

A correct control of proliferation and the proper establishment of junctional complexes are the crucial steps for the fine regulation of permeability exerted by ECs. Thus, we analyzed whether the absence of Eps8 and the consequent alteration in the transcriptional activity of YAP could impact the regulation of permeability. We found that Eps8− ECs displayed increased permeability compared with Eps8+ ECs (Fig. 7 D). EPS8 is therefore emerging as a key inducer of YAP activity that acts both by preventing Akt-dependent phosphorylation of YAP, as well as by restraining the binding of the 14–3–3–YAP complex to α-catenin, allowing YAP nuclear translocation.

These EPS8 activities are novel and unrelated to its well-established role in remodeling actin cytoskeleton. Indeed, ECs expressing an EPS8 mutant devoid of actin capping and bundling functions (Hertzog et al., 2010) showed a YAP activity almost equal to EPS8−expressing cells as measured by serine 127 phosphorylation levels (Fig. 8 A) and by the induction of target gene expression (Fig. 8 B). So far, we have deciphered a new molecular mechanism (Fig. 8 C) through which EPS8, a novel partner of VE-cadherin, is able to modulate YAP transcriptional activity.

The absence of EPS8 alters YAP activity in vivo

To confirm the in vivo relevance of the mechanisms described in the previous paragraphs, we analyzed YAP nuclear localization in vessels of WT and eps8-null mice. Consistent with what we previously observed, YAP nuclear localization was increased in the vasculature of eps8-null mice (Fig. 9, A and B). Furthermore, freshly isolated ECs obtained from eps8-null mice showed ~30% reduction of YAP target gene expression compared with WT mice (Fig. 9 C). Finally, in agreement with cultured cells, the absence of eps8 increased VE-cadherin localization at AJs in vivo too (Fig. 10 A).

To test whether the observed defects in permeability shown in cultured ECs were also present in vivo, we tested small- and large-size tracers (cadaverine–Alexa Fluor 555 and 0.1-µm-diameter green fluorescent microsphere, respectively) in WT and eps8-null mice (Figs. 10 B and S5). The analysis revealed a specific increase (~30%) in the accumulation of cadaverine in the parenchyma of brain and lungs in eps8-null mice (Fig. 10 B), whereas no difference in the extravasation of the high-size green fluorescent microspheres was found (Fig. S5).

Collectively, these findings support the idea that the signaling mechanisms uncovered by in vitro analyses are present also in vivo, and Eps8 plays a role in controlling permeability, but only to small molecular size tracers.
Endothelial cell-to-cell junctions not only maintain intercellular adhesion but also transfer multiple intracellular signals that modulate contact inhibition of cell growth, cell polarity, lumen formation, and permeability (Dejana et al., 2009; McCrea et al., 2009). The complexity of VE-cadherin signaling is a result of the large number of identified intracellular partners that have been shown to be directly or indirectly associated with AJs in the endothelium (Dejana and Vestweber, 2013).

These signaling proteins can assemble into distinct types of complexes, which would vary in composition in the different vessels, stages of development, and even within the same cell. Furthermore, VE-cadherin association with one or another partner is reversible and can be spatially and temporally regulated. We report here the identification of EPS8 as a new partner of the VE-cadherin complex in ECs that mediates the transduction of signals impinging on the regulation of the transcriptional coactivator YAP. EPS8 promotes VE-cadherin phosphorylation and ubiquitination. These posttranslational modifications variably associate with increased internalization and enhance cell surface turnover of VE-cadherin (Gavard and Gutkind, 2006; Orsenigo et al., 2012). Notably, EPS8 is not a stable component of VE-cadherin junctions, but it is transiently and rapidly recruited at cell-to-cell contacts during dynamic remodeling of junctions in early stages of confluency. It is likely, therefore, that EPS8 contributes to increase junction dynamics. Conversely, EPS8 loss reduces the turnover of VE-cadherin and favors its clustering, a condition previously shown to promote the activation of the PI(3)K–Akt pathway (Carmeliet et al., 1999; Taddei et al., 2008). Consistent with our results, Choi and Kwon (2015) recently found that YAP subcellular localization and activity in ECs are regulated by the VE-cadherin–mediated PI3K–Akt pathway. In keeping with these notions, we showed that the removal of EPS8 correlates with an increased localization of VE-cadherin at AJs and increased activation of the PI(3)K–Akt pathway.

YAP has long been shown to be a direct downstream target of Akt (Basu et al., 2003). This posttranslational modification creates binding sites for 14–3–3 proteins, a family of
phosphoserine-binding proteins, that may retain YAP in the cytoplasm, preventing its nucleocytoplasmic trafficking and transcriptional activity (Zhao et al., 2007). By associating with 14–3-3, YAP was shown to bind α-catenin in epithelial cells (Schlegelmilch et al., 2011). We report here that this interaction also occurs in ECs, where the loss of EPS8 promotes the localization of hyperphosphorylated YAP at AJs in a trimeric complex with 14–3-3 and α-catenin.

We also found that EPS8 is able to bind directly to α-catenin, competing with the 14–3-3–YAP complex. Coimmunoprecipitation experiments show the existence of two different, mutually exclusive protein complexes of VE-cadherin at AJs: in one complex, VE-cadherin binds α-catenin and EPS8, whereas in the other, VE-cadherin binds α-catenin, 14–3-3, and YAP. As a consequence, elevation of EPS8 levels inhibits, by competition for binding to α-catenin, the formation of the YAP–14–3-3–α-catenin protein assembly, ultimately inducing YAP nucleocytoplasmic shuttling and transcriptional activity.

The exclusion of YAP from cell junctions by EPS8 is of particular relevance under conditions of dynamic remodeling of junctions, when endothelial monolayers may not have yet committed to a full growth arrest.

It was shown that actin cytoskeleton and tensional forces can modulate YAP activity (Dupont et al., 2011; Aragona et al., 2013). EPS8 is an actin-capping and -bundling protein that influences actin dynamics in migratory cells. This function resides in the C-terminal effector region of EPS8. We show here that EPS8 can interact with α-catenin through its N-terminal domain at junctions in a topological arrangement that would enable EPS8 to execute its actin regulatory activity via its free effector C-terminal domain. However, the finding that an EPS8 mutant unable to interact with actin (Hertzog et al., 2010) is fully competent in restoring YAP translocation to the nucleus in EPS8-null ECs argues against this possibility. Our findings reveal, instead, an unexpected way of signaling of Eps8 that is apparently independent from its ability to control actin dynamics, but relies on a specific set of protein–protein interactions.

It will be important in future studies to determine the mechanism through which EPS8 is only transiently recruited to junctions. It is possible that increased tension across junctions is the key initiating cue that translates into the formation of a set of specialized complexes required to promote the necessary dynamics and plasticity of otherwise relatively stable structures and tissues.

Under in vitro and in vivo conditions, AJs are highly dynamic structures. Conditions that perturb this equilibrium might also perturb vascular permeability.

In eps8-null mice, VE-cadherin localization is increased at cell-to-cell contacts, likely through inhibition of turnover. As in cultured cells, eps8-null mice show an increase in vascular permeability, and the small-size tracer cadaverin accumulates in the parenchyma of different organs. However, large-size fluorescent beads did not cross the vessels more efficiently in the absence of eps8, suggesting a size-selective impairment of permeability. This result is consistent with the fact that eps8-null mice are viable and fertile and apparently devoid of macroscopic vascular abnormalities.

A possible explanation for the absence of a more dramatic phenotype is that inactivation of Eps8 may be compensated for by other members of the family of related genes (Scita et al., 1999). Double and triple knockout of EPS8-related genes may clarify this aspect. Alternatively, it is possible that under...
specific pathological conditions, eps8-null mice present alterations that are undetectable in healthy conditions.

In conclusion, we show here that the transient localization of EPS8 at endothelial junctions modulates VE-cadherin organization and induces YAP nuclear translocation and transcriptional activity. Further studies are required to characterize in more detail the relevance of this novel molecular pathway in additional in vivo models.

Materials and methods

Cell lines
Murine ECs genetically ablated for Cdh5 (VE-cadherin null) and modified to express the human WT VE-cadherin (VE-cadherin positive) or VE-cadherin Δ-p120 (lacking aa 621–702 of human VE-cadherin cDNA, which correspond to the p120-catenin–binding region) and Δ-βcat (lacking aa 703–784 of human VE-cadherin cDNA, which correspond to the β-catenin–binding region) were obtained and cultured as described previously (Giampietro et al., 2012). ECs isolated from lungs (Dong et al., 1997; Balconi et al., 2000) of eps8-null adult mice were lentivirally infected with EGFP alone or EGFP-EPS8 (Menna et al., 2009). For the experiments, 1,800 cells/cm² and 42,000 cells/cm² were seeded to obtain sparse and confluent cultures; and 40,000 cells/cm² were seeded and cultured for 24 h, 36 h, and 72 h to reach different stages of confluency (early confluent, confluent, and long confluent, respectively). For all ECs of murine origin, the culture medium was DMEM with 20% FCS, 2-mM glutamine, 100 U/liter penicillin/streptomycin, 1-mM sodium pyruvate, 100 µg/ml heparin (from porcine intestinal mucosa; Sigma-Aldrich), and 5 µg/ml EC growth supplement (made from calf brain; complete culture medium).

The starving medium was MCDB 131 (Invitrogen) with 1% BSA (EuroClone), 2-mM glutamine, 100 U/liter penicillin/streptomycin, and 1-mM sodium pyruvate.

The epithelial AD-HEK293 cell line (human embryonic kidney; American Type Culture Collection) used for adenoviral production was grown in DMEM (Cambrex Bioscience) supplemented with 10% FBS (HyClone), 2-mM glutamine, 100 U/liter penicillin/streptomycin, and 1-mM sodium pyruvate.
The COS-1 cell line (monkey kidney fibroblast-like cells; American Type Culture Collection) used for transient transfection was grown in DMEM supplemented with 10% FBS and 2-mM glutamine. All cells were cultured at 37°C in a humidified atmosphere of 5% CO2/air.

Antibodies
For IF, Western blotting, and immunoprecipitation (IP), the following antibodies were used: VE-cadherin (C-19) goat (sc-6458; Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc.); VE-cadherin rat BV13 (ab91064; Abcam; Corada et al., 2002); EPS8 mouse (610144; BD); α-tubulin mouse (T9026; Sigma-Aldrich); vinculin mouse (V9264; Sigma-Aldrich); Ub P4D1 mouse (sc-8017; Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc.); pY665-VE-cadherin rabbit (gift from J. Gavard, Institut Cochin, Paris, France; Gavard and Gutkind, 2006); Y AP (63.7) mouse (sc-10199; Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc.; Western blot [WB]); phospho-YAP (serine 127) rabbit (4911; Cell Signaling Technology); phospho-Mst1 (threonine 183)/Mst2 (threonine 180) rabbit (3681; Cell Signaling Technology); LATS1 (G-16) goat (sc-12494; Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc.); phospho-LATS1 (serine 909) rabbit (9157; Cell Signaling Technology); Akt rabbit (9271; Cell Signaling Technology); phospho-Akt (threonine 308) rabbit (9275; Cell Signaling Technology); Pecam-1 (CD31; ab28364; Abcam); HRP-linked anti-mouse, anti-rat, and anti-rabbit (Cell Signaling Technology); HRP-linked anti-goat (Promega); Alexa Fluor 555–conjugated donkey anti-mouse and anti-goat (Invitrogen); Alexa Fluor 488–conjugated donkey anti-mouse (Invitrogen); and GST (Z-5) rabbit (sc-459; Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc.).

Quantitative RT-PCR (qRT-PCR) analysis
Total RNA was isolated using the RNeasy mini kit (QIAGEN), and 1 µg was reverse transcribed with random hexamers (High Capacity cDNA Archive kit; Applied Biosystems). cDNA was amplified with the TaqMan Gene Expression assay (Applied Biosystems) and a thermocycler (ABI Prism 7900HT; Thermo Fisher Scientific). For any sample, the expression level, normalized to the housekeeping genes encoding 18S, was determined by the comparative threshold cycle method as described previously (Spagnuolo et al., 2004).

Figure 10. The absence of EPS8 alters the AJ organization and impairs the correct control of permeability in vivo. (A) Confocal microscopy analysis of VE-cadherin (green) localization in cryosections of brains of WT and eps8-null adult (2 mo old) mice (left). Quantification of the main intensity of VE-cadherin expression; data are means ± SEM of four WT and four eps8-null mice analyzed. Bars: (A) 50 µm; (magnification) 20 µm. The dashed outlines indicate the areas magnified on the right. (B) In vivo permeability assay. Mice were injected with 25 mg/kg cadaverine–Alexa Fluor 555, and 2 h later they were sacrificed and their organs were collected. Whole brains and lungs were photographed, and cadaverine was quantified. Bar, 500 µm. The presence of cadaverine in the organs was expressed as mean fluorescence. n = 4 for WT and eps8 null. The dashed outline highlights the brain area. *, P < 0.05.
sex-matched C57BL/6 mice were used as controls. Mice were backcrossed for >20 generations to C57BL/6 mice. To derive eps8 mutant allele were derived. DNA analysis and immunoblotting with antipeptide serum was used to detect the WT (2.6 kb) and targeted (9.5 kb) alleles. Of note, this genetic lesion resulted in the complete loss of the eps8 gene product as determined by mRNA analysis and immunoblotting with antipeptide serum raised against the N-terminal region of EPS8. Electroporation into mouse embryonic day 14 embryonic stem cell clones, and subsequent manipulations leading to mice heterozygous and homozygous for the mutant Eps8 allele, were performed as previously described (Levéen et al., 1994). A targeted embryonic stem cell clone was injected into C57BL/6 blastocysts, and germline chimeras and mice heterozygous and homozygous for the eps8 mutant allele were derived. DERNA analyses, derivation of chimeras, and subsequent identification of germline transmission, and mice heterozygous and homozygous for the mutant eps8 allele, were described previously (Levéen et al., 1994). eps8-null mice were backcrossed for >20 generations to C57BL/6 mice. Age- and sex-matched C57BL/6 mice were used as controls.

Intravenous injection of lysine-fixable cadaverine conjugated to Alexa Fluor 555 and microsphere
Cadaverine conjugated to Alexa Fluor 555 (3.125 mg/ml in saline) was injected intravenously into the tail vein of adult (2 mo old) 25-mg/kg mice eps8 null and controls. The circulation time was 2 h. For in situ detection of cadaverine, the anesthetized mice were perfused with 1–2 min with HBSS, followed by 5 min of perfusion with 4% PFA in PBS, pH 7.2. The organs were then removed and postfixed in 4% PFA at 4°C for 5–6 h. Images of dissected organs were captured using a stereomicroscope (SZX16; Olympus) equipped with a fluorescence long-pass filter for RFP (excitation, 530–550 nm; emission, 575 nm). Image acquisition was performed using a 1× objective with a total magnification of 0.35×, supported by an RGB camera (Digital Sight DS-5Mc; Nikon). The ImageJ open-source software (National Institutes of Health) was used for data analysis. The mean fluorescence was calculated as the ratios of the total fluorescence signals to the number of pixels in the areas, expressed as arbitrary units.

For in situ detection of microspheres, the anesthetized mice were intravenously injected with green fluorescent microspheres (0.1–μm diameter; 50 ml; Duke Scientific) and then perfused for 1–2 min with HBSS, followed by 5 min of perfusion with 4% PFA in PBS, pH 7.2. The tracheas were then removed and postfixed in 4% PFA at 4°C for 1 h and then processed for IF analyses.

Histology and tissue IF
Mouse organs were embedded in optimal cutting temperature compound (Tissue-Tek; Sakura) and snap frozen or embedded in paraffin. 5-μm-thick sections were cut. Frozen sections were fixed in cold methanol or 4% PFA and subjected to IF. Paraffin sections were subjected to IF. Blocking (2 h), primary (overnight), and secondary (3 h) antibodies were diluted in PBS with 2% BSA. Sections were then counterstained with DAPI and mounted in Vectashield.

Retinal immunohistochemistry
Eyes from WT C57BL/6 postnatal day 9 mice were fixed in 2% PFA overnight before retinas were dissected. Retinas were incubated in 5% donkey serum, 1% BSA, and 0.5% Triton X-100 in PBS overnight and the day after, stained with primary antibodies overnight. Then retinas were incubated with fluorophore-conjugated antibodies and mounted with ProLong gold (Invitrogen).

IF microscopy
Cells were cultured and then fixed with 4% PFA or, if specified in the text, with 1% PFA in 2.5-mM triethanolamine, pH 7.5, containing 0.1% Triton X-100 and 0.1% NP-40 to optimize junctional staining. Fixed cells were permeabilized and incubated for 30 min in a blocking solution of PBS with 2% BSA.

Cells were then incubated overnight with primary antibodies diluted in blocking buffer. Appropriate secondary antibodies were applied on cells for 45 min at RT. Confocal microscopy was performed at RT with a confocal microscope (TCS SP2AOBS; Leica) equipped with violet (405-nm laser diode), blue (488 nm; Argon), yellow (561 nm; solid state), and red (633 nm; HeNe) excitation laser lines before processing with Photoshop (Adobe). Only adjustments of brightness and contrast were used in the preparation of the figures. For comparison purposes, different sample images of the same antigen were acquired under constant acquisition settings. Image acquisition was performed using a 63×/1.4 NA oil immersion objective (HCX PL APO 63× LD; Leica) with spectral detection bands and scanning modalities optimized for removal of channel cross talk. Confocal software (Leica) and ImageJ version 1.33 were used for data analysis.

LUMIER assay
The automated high throughput technology LUMIER to analyze dynamic protein–protein interaction networks in mammalian cells was performed as previously described (Barrios-Rodiles et al., 2005). Full-length human VE-cadherin and Δβcat mutant were C-terminally tagged with RL (VE-cadherin-RL and Δβcat-RL). In brief, HEK293T cells from a library of 640 3× Flag-tagged cDNAs that encode proteins comprised of diverse signaling-associated domains (Miller et al., 2009), plated in dishes, were robotically transfected using PolyFect (Qiagen). After 48 h, cells were lysed and then immunoprecipitated using anti-Flag M2 monoclonal antibody (Sigma-Aldrich). Luciferase activity in immunoprecipitates and in aliquots of total cell lysates was determined using the Renilla Luciferase Assay system (Promega).

Lung EC isolation
Lungs were excised from mice and digested with collagenase type I (Roche) for 2 h at 37°C. The ECs were then separated using Dynabeads (Invitrogen) coated with Pecam-1 antibody (BD) according to the manual’s instructions and immediately processed for RNA isolation. cDNA synthesis and qRT-PCR were performed as described in the Quantitative RT-PCR (qRT-PCR) analysis section.

Statistical analysis
A Student’s two-tailed unpaired t test was used to determine statistical significance. The significance level was set at P < 0.05.

IP
Cells were incubated with 100 µg/ml dithiobis(succinimidyl)propionate (Thermo Fisher Scientific) for 20 min at 37°C and then solubilized in lysis buffer (100-mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.4, 150-mM NaCl, 1% Triton X-100, 1% deoxycholic acid, 0.1% SDS, 2-mM CaCl2, and 0.5% Triton X-100).
protease/phosphatase inhibitors) on ice for 30 min. Precleared cell extracts were subjected to antibody precipitation overnight at 4°C, and immune complexes were captured by protein G–Sepharose beads (GE Healthcare). Immunoprecipitated material was separated on Tris-glycine SDS-PAGE, blotted onto nitrocellulose membrane, and analyzed by standard methodologies.

**Western blotting**
Confluent cells were lysed by boiling in a modified Laemmli sample buffer (2% SDS, 20% glycerol, and 125-mM Tris-HCl, pH 6.8). Equal amounts of proteins were loaded on gels, separated by SDS-PAGE, and transferred to a nitrocellulose membrane (Protran; Whatman). After incubation with primary and HRP-linked secondary antibodies, specific bindings were detected by a chemiluminescence system (GE Healthcare).

**Gelatin–glutaraldehyde cross-linking**
To enhance EC adhesion, slides were coated with gelatin–glutaraldehyde–cross-linked gelatin as follows. The culture supports were incubated for 1 h at RT with 1% gelatin, followed by a cross-linking with 2% gelatin solution for 15 min at RT. The gelatin solution was replaced by 70% ethanol. After 1 h, five washes with PBS followed by overnight incubation with PBS containing 2-mM glycine were performed. Before cell seeding, slides were washed five times with PBS.

**Paracellular tracer flux analysis**
Cells were seeded on 6.5-mm-diameter Transwell permeable supports (pore size 0.4 μm; Corning), cultured in complete culture medium, and assayed for permeability to FITC-dextran (70 kDa; Sigma-Aldrich). Next, FITC-dextran was added to the medium of the Transwell apical compartment at a concentration of 1 mg/ml. At different times of incubation, a 50-μl aliquot of the medium was collected from the basal compartment, and the paracellular tracer flux was measured as the amount of FITC-dextran in the medium using a fluorometer (Wallac Victor3 1420 multilabel counter; PerkinElmer).

**Active Rho and Rac pull-down assay**
To detect active Rho, we used the Active Rho Pull-Down and Detection kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific) in accordance with the manufacturer’s instructions. To detect active Rac, we used the G-LISA Rac activation assay (Cytoskeleton, Inc.) in accordance with the manufacturer’s instructions.

**Treatments**
ECs were starved overnight before any treatment. 10-µM LY294002 (Cell Signaling Technology) was added overnight at 37°C.

**Constructs**
A form of Akt that is constitutively active (myr-Akt) was a gift from C. Daly (Regeneron Pharmaceuticals Inc., Tarrytown, NY). Cytomegalovirus promoter–based, elongation factor-1 promoter–based eukaryotic expression vectors, and GST bacterial expression vectors were generated by recombinant PCR. His6-tagged α-catenin full-length was a gift from B. Weis and W. J. Nelson (Stanford University, Stanford, CA). MBP–14–3-3 was procured from GeneCopoeia. All constructs were verified by sequencing.

**Protein purification**
Recombinant full-length His-EPS8, His-Irsp53, and GST-EPS8 fragments were expressed and purified as previously described (Disanza et al., 2006, 2013; Hertzog et al., 2010). In brief, recombinant fragments were expressed as His- or GST-fusion proteins in the BL21 Escherichia coli strain (Agilent Technologies) and affinity purified using GS4B glutathione–Sepharose beads (GE Healthcare) or nickel–nitrotetroacetic acidagarose. Eluted proteins were dialyzed in 50-mM Tris-HCl, 150-mM NaCl, 1-mM DTT, and 20% glycerol. GST–VE-cadherin intracellular domain was expressed in BL21 Rosetta strain (Agilent Technologies) and affinity purified using GS4B glutathione–Sepharose beads. His–α-catenin was expressed in the BL21 E. coli strain (Agilent Technologies) and affinity purified using nickel–nitrotetroacetic acid (Ni-NTA) agarose according to standard procedures. Recombinant purified protein was eluted with 200-mM imidazole and dialyzed in 50-mM Tris, pH 7.8, 150-mM NaCl, 1-mM DTT, and 5% glycerol. MBP–14–3-3 was purified by standard procedures using amylose–Sepharose affinity purification.

**In vitro binding assay**
MBP–14–3–3–α-catenin ± EPS8. Recombinant purified proteins were incubated overnight at 4°C in Xb buffer (50-mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.4, 150-mM NaCl, 0.02% Triton X-100, 20-mM imidazole, 1-mM DTT, and protease inhibitor cocktail). Samples were then incubated for 1 h at 4°C with amylose–Sepharose beads and washed three times with Xb buffer. Amylose–Sepharose beads were resuspended in a 1:1 volume of 2× SDS-PAGE sample buffer, boiled for 5 min at 95°C, spun down for 1 min, and loaded on acrylamide gels.

**EPS8 full-length/VE-cadherin cytoplasmic tail.** Recombinant purified His-EPS8 and VE-cadherin–C-terminal fragment were incubated for 1 h at 4°C with Ni-NTA beads in Xa buffer (50-mM Tris, pH 8, 300-mM NaCl, 0.1% Triton X-100, 20-mM imidazole, 1-mM DTT, and protease inhibitor cocktail). Samples were washed three times in Xb buffer. Beads were resuspended in a 1:1 volume of 2× SDS-PAGE sample buffer, boiled for 5 min at 95°C, spun down for 1 min, and loaded on acrylamide gels.

**EPS8 fragments/α-catenin full length.** Recombinant purified GST-EPS8 fragments and His–α-catenin were incubated for 1 h at 4°C with Ni-NTA beads in Xb buffer. Samples were washed three times in Xb buffer. Beads were resuspended in a 1:1 volume of 2× SDS-PAGE sample buffer, boiled for 5 min at 95°C, spun down for 1 min, and loaded on acrylamide gels.

**EPS8 fragments/VE-cadherin cytoplasmic tail.** Equal amounts of cell lysates overexpressing VE-cadherin cDNA were incubated with GST-EPS8 fragments (GST as control) for 2 h at 4°C in the presence of GS4B glutathione–Sepharose beads. Samples were washed three times in lysis buffer. Beads were resuspended in a 1:1 volume of 2× SDS-PAGE sample buffer, boiled for 5 min at 95°C, spun down for 1 min, and loaded on acrylamide gels.

**RNAi**
To interfere with α-catenin, we used siRNA (ON-TARGETplus L-048960-01; SMARTpool duplex Ctnna1) from GE Healthcare and the corresponding nontargeting pool (ONT ARGET plus). Transfection was performed with Lipofectamine 2000 (Invitrogen) in accordance with the manufacturer’s instructions.

**Online supplemental material**
Fig. S1 describes EPS8 reconstitution in EPS8–ECs. Fig. S2 shows that YAP transcriptional activity is regulated by VE-cadherin. Fig. S3 shows that EPS8 expression does not influence the Hippo pathway and Rho and Rac activity in ECs. Fig. S4 shows that YAP binding to VE-cadherin negatively regulates its transcriptional activity. Fig. S5 shows the high-size permeability control in vivo. Online supplemental material is available at http://www.jcb.org/cgi/content/full/jcb.201501089/DC1.
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